United States District Court WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING

PIC) FEI	ERNANDEZ	Case Number: <u>1:00-CR-15</u>		
requ	In a	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C he detention of the defendant pending revocatio	§3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts n hearing in this case.		
		Part	I - Findings of Fact		
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense	described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had		
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S	S.C.§3156(a)(4).		
		an offense for which the maximum ser	tence is life imprisonment or death.		
		an offense for which the maximum ter	m of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in		
		a felony that was committed after the d U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparate	efendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ole state or local offenses.		
	(2)		litted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local		
	(3)	offense. A period of not more than five years has elaps the offense described in finding (1).	ed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebu- assure the safety of (an)other person(s) are presumption.	table presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this		
			rnate Findings (A)		
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense		
		for which a maximum term of imprison under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	nment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 USC 801, et seq.		
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presump reasonably assure the appearance of the def	ion established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will endant as required and the safety of the community.		
X		Alternate Findings (B)			
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant v			
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant wi	l endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
		was celebrating his then-impending term not have shown up for the test if he thou defendant was told to turn himself in, bu	cohol on August 23, 2008, which defendant states was due to the fact he ination of supervised release five days later. He stated that he would ght the alcohol would have been revealed. On Fri., August 29th, waited an additional six days to do so, and during the intervening er 2nd. This was over the Labor Day weekend.		
		Part II - Written Stat	ement of Reasons for Detention		
appe ng a	ears d s defe	defendant will appear on his own terms, an	evidence that he will appear for future proceedings as directed. At best, d that appears to be when he feels he will not test positive for alcohol. As vever, he is required to conform his behavior to the court's requirements		

De it lo and not his own.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated:	September 9, 2008	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.
	·	Signature of Judicial Officer
		Hugh W. Bronnoman, Ir. United States Magistrate Judge

Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer